



UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS MEDICAL CENTER

RAINBOW BOULEVARD AT 39TH STREET
KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66103 • AREA CODE 913 • ADams 6-5252

SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
DEPARTMENT OF PATHOLOGY AND ONCOLOGY

June 3, 1969

Harold Weisberg, Esq.,
Route 8
Frederick, Maryland.

Dear Harold:

Your affidavit arrived yesterday and I immediately sent a Xerox copy to my lawyers in Topeka. Presume they will rewrite it with the portions which will be of importance along the lines they want to pursue.

Your letter of 5/28/69 also arrived in the same mail. I have made two Xerox copies of the accompanying analysis and am retaining one and am returning the original and extra Xerox copy to you herewith.

Received ~~from~~ Paul Hoch's documents and have made negatives of the letter and returned the original to him. Presume he is a Ph. D. by now.

Only on reading your letter did I come to realize the importance of that sentence in the Panel Report about the memorandum of transfer in the archives dated April 26, 1965. As you can see from the enclosed copy I am writing Burk Marshall about it, of course he will either ignore or refuse. In the meantime might it not be good for me to try and include this in my suit? I will raise the issue with my lawyers later tomorrow on the telephone. If so it would be good (possibly) for me to have a copy of one of your most recent letters to the Archivist and his reply to you. I realize this is getting into your sphere but I certainly do not have any literary claims or interests in this direction. If you should agree it might be best to send me your originals and I would make negatives and return the originals with glossies to you.

Will also write the Archivist for a Xerox copy of this memorandum.

Harold, have you not missed the fact that the autopsy was not performed" in order to (a) determine if a crime has been committed, and if so (b) acquire evidence with which to assist in apprehension and conviction of the guilty, and (c) acquit the innocent".

On the first page of the autopsy pathologists review dated 1/26/67 the second paragraph reads "The Surgeon General of the Navy advised Dr. Humes that the purpose of the autopsy was to determine the nature of the President's injuries and the cause of death."

In New Orleans:

Doctor Finck: We didn't remove the organs of the neck.

Mr. Oser: Why not, Doctor?

Doctor Finck: For the reason that we were told to examine the head wounds and that the-----

Mr. Oser: Are you saying someone told you not to dissect the tract?

The Court: Let him finish his answer.

Doctor Finck: I was told that the family wanted an examination of the head, as I recall, the head and chest, but the prosecutors in this autopsy didn't remove the organs of the neck, to my recollection.

Mr. Dymond: Now, Doctor, what was the purpose of the autopsy which you and Doctor. Humes and Doctor Boswell conducted?

Doctor Finck: The purpose of the autopsy was to determine the nature of the wounds and the cause of death. When we signed the autopsy report we were satisfied with the nature of the wounds, the direction, and the cause of death. This was the purpose of the autopsy, and in my opinion this autopsy report fulfills this mission.

Your letter 5/31/69 arrived a few minutes ago. Yes I have Xerox of 5 USC 552. All the best to you and your wife.

JN